

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DANIELA CANALES POSCASANGRE,

Plaintiff

v.

COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:24-cv-00667-APG-DJA

**Order (1) to Show Cause Why This Action
Should Not Be Remanded for Lack of
Subject Matter Jurisdiction and
(2) Striking Certificate of Interested
Parties**

Defendant Costco Wholesale Corporation removed this case from state court based on diversity jurisdiction. ECF No. 1. However, Costco does not identify its citizenship, so I cannot determine whether complete diversity exists. Further, although Costco refers to the plaintiff having over \$54,000 in medical damages, lost wages, and potential future care, it presents no evidence to support these assertions. Consequently, I order Costco to show cause why this action should not be remanded to state court for failure to establish the amount in controversy requirement.

Additionally, I strike Costco's certificate of interested parties (ECF No. 2) for failure to comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1(a)(2). The certificate does not identify Costco's citizenship as required by the amendment to that rule.

I THEREFORE ORDER that by April 30, 2024, defendant Costco Wholesale Corporation shall show cause, in writing, why this action should not be remanded for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Failure to respond to this order by that date will result in remand.

////

////

1 I FURTHER ORDER that defendant Costco Wholesale Corporation's certificate of
2 interested parties (ECF No. 2) is STRICKEN for failure to comply with Federal Rule of Civil
3 Procedure 7.1(a)(2).

4 I FURTHER ORDER defendant Costco Wholesale Corporation to file a proper certificate
5 of interested parties by April 30, 2024.

6 DATED this 8th day of April, 2024.

7 

8 _____
9 ANDREW P. GORDON
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23